

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/776,759	WOOD, ETHAN	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ARTHUR O. HALL	3714	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeffrey Howell.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4/1/2008
**Time:** 10:30 am
**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*1, 16, 28 and 34*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 16, 28 and 34 to resolve clarity issues regarding the location of the plural LEDs mounted on the PCB within the housing and with respect to the first and second openings in the housing versus the first and second openings in the display cards. Applicant agreed to amendments that clearly locate the plural LEDs on the PCB and that change the first and second openings in the display card to first and second apertures in the display card.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Assent/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

